

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,344	11/25/2003	Alison J. McMillan	84714 3052 TAL	3908
20736 MANELLI DI	7590 03/25/2008 ENISON & SELTER	EXAMINER		
2000 M STRE	ET NW SUITE 700		LIEW, ALEX KOK SOON	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036-3307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,344		MCMILLAN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALEX LIEW	2624		

	ALEX LIEW	2624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places at application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) Metaperiod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it. Examinar Note: If how I is checked, check either how (a) or I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	lension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
	lianes with 27 CER 41 27 must be 4	Slad within two worth	a of the date of					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s or the date or e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ne issues ioi					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	A Government of Mark of Mark Co.		DTOL OCA)					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	DTO(CD(00) Danas No(a)							
13. Other:								
/Matthew C Bella/								
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments presented by the applicant were not convincing. The applicant argued Atsumi does not teach method for 'automatically' selecting a variable and teates the regions of interest to a region with a high rate of change; the applicant is correct, however the claim does not call for feature such as 'automatically.' The examiner would like to point out that Tsap discloses a region of interest with high rate of change and region of the abnormality of change and region of interest with high rate of change and region of interest with high rate of change and region of lessers are no strain are areas reading ergion of lessers rate of change). Tsap reads on such language because the areas where there are no strain are areas reading ergion of lesser rate of change). Tsap reads on such language because the areas where there are no strain in Tsap have 'lesser' strain compared to areas with the 'lowest' strain'.